1	Specification:										
	(i)	Purpose of the scheme	Replacement of the Council's existing website Content Management System (CMS) to improve customer experience and allow customers to access all Council services via a secure unified customer portal with single sign-on,								
	(ii)	Relevance to National / Council's Objectives	· · /	lational: Council:	Government Digital Services (GDS), The Green Agenda Cost reduction, Legislative compliance (GDPR,PSN)						
	(iii)	Targets for judging success	(b) Ir (c) F	ncreased D Reduced pa	stomer experiences of TMBC website igital informational and transactional engagement per and face-to-face contact id-to-end customer service efficiencies						
	As a strat nece The temp	result of the review Cus egy. In order to achieve essary front and back off new CMS platform shou plates allowing for a reus	tomer sei the new E ice integra Id be des able page	rvices and I Business ar ations sean igned to be e of questio	rent website, both in terms of presentation, user-friendliness and functionality. T have produced business and technical to underpin and deliver the Council's Digita ad Technical specification we need to change the existing CMS platform to provide the nlessly. This will in turn help ensure that the future overheads are kept low. non-technical to operate in a low-code platform, which includes features such as page ons to be reused across multiple forms. The platform should also provide a non- es/subscriptions/service request management with the ability to automate elements of						
	the s	service delivery process.	These fa	ctors will co	ombine to allow IT staff to build/manage forms and workflows quickly and efficiently, reusing workflows already delivered by other Local Government Authorities. Thus,						

3	Milestones / Risk:											
3	Milestones / Risk. Milestones: Procurement, Implementation and Deployment.											
	Risk: The current levels of frustration amongst Residents, Members and Businesses in using TMBC website, coupled with the increase											
	in service demand across services will result in increased operational cost or degradation in quality of service delivered.											
4	Consultation:											
	Management Team and Members are supportive of the need to replace the existing website and make it more user friendly and intuitive.											
5	Capital Cost: Composite outline budget of £140,000 for CMS software, design, implementation and consultancy where appropriate. We would be aiming to bring in the most economically advantageous solution well within this budget.											
6	Profiling of Expenditure											
	2019/20 (£'000)	2020/21 (£'000)	2021/20 (£'000)	2022/23 (£	'000)	2023/24 (£'000)	2024/25 (£'000)					
	140											
7	Capital Renewals Impact: Nil											
8	Revenue Impact:											
	Loss of investment income of £5,600 per annum (£140,000 at 4%). Estimated Annual support and maintenance of £31,000 per annum.											
9	Partnership Funding:											
	None											
10												
	Project to be managed by IT services. Post implementation review to be conducted 12 months after completion.											
	0											
11	Screening for equality	impacts:										
11	Question			Answer	Expla	anation of impacts						
11	Questiona.Does the decision	being made or recomme			Expla	anation of impacts						
11	Questiona.Does the decisionpaper have potenti	being made or recomme al to cause adverse imp	pact or	Answer N/A	Expla	anation of impacts						
11	Questiona.Does the decisionpaper have potentidiscriminate agains	being made or recomme al to cause adverse imp st different groups in the	e community?		Expla	anation of impacts						
11	Questiona.Does the decision paper have potenti discriminate againsb.Does the decision	being made or recomme al to cause adverse imp st different groups in the being made or recomme	ended through this paper		Expla	anation of impacts						
11	Questiona.Does the decision paper have potenti discriminate againsb.Does the decision make a positive co	being made or recomme al to cause adverse imp st different groups in the being made or recomme ntribution to promoting e	ect or community? ended through this paper equality?	N/A N/A		anation of impacts						
11	Questiona.Does the decision paper have potenti discriminate againsb.Does the decision make a positive co	being made or recomme al to cause adverse imp st different groups in the being made or recomme ntribution to promoting e u taking to mitigate, redu	ended through this paper	N/A		anation of impacts						